

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/802,691	SHMUELI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chun Cao	2115	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Chun Cao.

(3) _____.

(2) Taylor Davenport (Reg. No. 42,466).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 June 2005

Time: 11:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2nd paragraph and 35 USC 101 rejections

Claims discussed:

1, 16-23 and 25-28

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph and 35 USC 101 rejections of claims 1 and 16-28 were discussed. Applicant agreed to amend the claims to overcome the discussed 112 2nd problem and 35 USC 101 problem as set forth in examiner amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.